





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,023	02/21/2002	Zheng David Lou	10541-1281	10541-1281 7750	
29074	7590 10/06/	33	EXAMINER		
BRINKS H P.O. BOX 10	OFER GILSON &	MICHALSKY, GERALD A			
CHICAGO,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3753		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		
				<i>A</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •				Λ				
	Application N	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/080,023		LOU, ZHENG DA	/ID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Gerald A. Mic	nalsky	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 200	<u>13</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is nor	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,9,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		eration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,9,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) dbj	ected to by the Exar	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/080,023

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Note U.S. Patents 4,678,893, 5,513,673, and 5,615,860, which are cited on page 1 of the specification and have been cited by the examiner herein. Patent No. 4,678,893 does not describe a hydraulic control valve, as recited on page 1. Patent No. 5,513,673 does not describe a valve having three control lands of equal diameter and long orifices extending through the valve body, as recited on page 1. Patent No. 5,615,860 does not describe a valve having lands of unequal diameter, as recited on page 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Koenings or Arnold in view of Yokota et al. Claim 1 is considered met by either Koenings or Arnold except for a damping orifice. Figure 2 of Yokota et al shows a damping orifice 86 which damps pressure surges occurring in return line 85 to prevent such pressure surges from unduly affecting pressure in spring chamber 81. It would have been obvious in view of damping orifice 86 of Figure 2 of Yokota et al. to provide a damping orifice connected to the end chamber having spring 75 of Koenings or spring 58 of Arnold in order to provide damping of pressure surges which occur in the return line from the valve to the reservoir in the event that the end

Application/Control Number: 10/080,023

Art Unit: 3753

chamber is connected to the return line to reservoir. Such a damping orifice would also inherently attenuate oscillations of the valve. Yokota et al shows a fluid reservoir 37 in Figure 2. Regarding claims 5 and 7, the specific diameter range specified therein would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because applicant asserts no criticality in the specific range recited. Regarding claims 17 and 18, there is inherent leakage at land 28 of Koenings or land 34 of Arnold due to inherent manufacturing tolerances. Furthermore, land 28 of Koenings and land 34 of Arnold border the high pressure area at the inlets of these valves. Therefore, inherent leakage would occur between the areas of high pressure and low pressure present at each end of these lands in view of the sliding fit and tolerances inherent in the sliding arrangement.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,023

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Rivell, can be reached on (703) 308-2599. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Gerald A. Michalsky
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

GM September 30, 2003